

Genealogic structure of Slovak Pinzgau cattle population

VERONIKA SIDLOVA, RADOVAN KASARDA, NINA MORAVCIKOVA Slovak University of Agriculture in Nitra Tr. A. Hlinku 2, 949 76 Nitra SLOVAKIA

veron.sidlova@gmail.com

Abstract: The aim of the study was improve knowledge about genealogical structure of Slovak Pinzgau cattle using genetic markers. Observed population structure was characterized by use of eight microsatellites. Each locus was tested for deviations from the Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE). In general, breed was in genetic equilibrium, only locus BM1824 deviated from HWE. The overall fixation index values per locus ranged from - 0.039 (CSRM60) to 0.0535 (BM1824) and average F_{IS} was close to zero (-0.0039 ± 0.0122). The highest average F_{ST} was observed in subpopulation divided based on paternal lines. The value 0.0669 from all the loci indicated that 93.31% of the genetic variation was caused by differences among individuals and 6.69% due to differentiation among the origin of animals. Division of the population into logical groups was confirmed and clarified on the basis of genetic analyses. The chosen set of microsatellites confirmed the suitability for genetic structure assessment and its usefulness in determination of the subpopulations for Pinzgau cattle in Slovakia.

Keywords: genetic structure, microsatellites, Pinzgau cattle, subpopulations

Introduction

Many industrial breeds currently suffer from inbreeding, and genetic resources in cattle, sheep, and goats are highly endangered, particularly in developed countries [1]. Genetic diversity within farm animal species refers to the extent of genetic variation within and among breeds, strains and lines in order to preserve the highest intraspecific variability [2]. Maintaining genetic variation is an important requirement for future animal breeding strategies, to match animals to a variety of and for adaptation to husbandry systems environmental changes. In addition, genetic diversity of livestock species is of considerable scientific interest for understanding phenotypic variation [3] and for reconstructing the history of livestock [4].

Slovak Pinzgau cattle are divided into two separate populations. The first is represented by dual-purpose type (dairy) and the second by beef suckler cows (beef). Pinzgau cattle are an original Alpine breed, which had been imported to Slovakia approximately 200 years ago. Thanks to its unique traits as longevity, fertility, health, grazing ability it had been bred in mountain regions of northern Slovakia, but there is significant decline of the population in recent years. Due to this, the population can be considered endangered and it is necessary to assess genetic variability. Taking in the account the situation alternatively breeding programs were optimised [5], development were monitored [6] and analyses of genetic diversity were performed [7].

Microsatellite markers have been widely used for population genetic analyses and structure of livestock species, as they are informative and can successfully elucidate the relationships between individuals and populations, including also cattle populations [8]. Microsatellites have been commonly used to assess within-breed genetic diversity and inbreeding levels, introgression from other species, genetic differentiation, admixture among breeds [9] and to define conservation priorities [2].

The most widely used measures of population structure are Wright's F statistics [10], which partition the genetic variation in a withinsubpopulation component (average subpopulation inbreeding coefficient F_{IS}) and betweensubpopulations component (fixation index F_{ST}), with the inbreeding in the total population described by the inbreeding coefficient F_{IT} [2]. In case of heterozygosity decreasing in population F_{IS} value will be positive and opposite, if there is a sufficient number of heterozygotes, this value will be negative [11]. F_{ST} measure provide important insight into the evolutionary processes that influence the structure of genetic variation within and among populations, and they are among the most widely used descriptive statistics in population and evolutionary genetics [12]. To calculate these indices, one needs first to define groups of individuals and then to use their compute variance in allele genotypes to frequencies. Thus, a fundamental prerequisite of any inference on the genetic structure of populations is the definition of populations themselves. Population determination is usually based upon geographical origin of samples or phenotypes. However, the genetic structure of populations is not always reflected in the geographical proximity of individuals. Populations that are not discretely distributed can nevertheless be genetically structured, due to unidentified barriers to gene flow. In addition, groups of individuals with different geographical locations, behavioural patterns or phenotypes are not necessarily genetically differentiated [13].

The aim of this study was to assess genetic structure of Slovak Pinzgau cattle population based on polymorphism at microsatellite loci using statistical programs. This should allow improve our knowledge of population structure and genetic variability with using for preservation of the breed in the original phenotype supported by the current selection schemes and breeding programmes.

Material and methods

Random selected 302 cows of Pinzgau cattle from four Slovak farms were analysed. Beef and dualpurpose farming types, as well as purebred and crossbred animals were represented. DNA was isolated from hair roots and amplified in one multiplex PCR with 8 microsatellites (TGLA122, CSSM66, TGLA227, ILST006, CSRM60, ETH3, SPS115). determine BM1824, То the polymorphism of microsatellite DNA sequences was used fluorescent fragmentation analysis by ABI PRISM 310 Genetic Analyser and the allele sizes were evaluated. All loci were tested for deviations from the Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE) using a permutation version of the exact test given by Guo and Thompson [14] provided in PowerMarker V3.25 software [15]. Observed animals were divided into subpopulations based on farm, where are the animals living, breed type, respectively level of admixture of other breeds, vear of the birth and line of father. To describe the properties of a subdivided population F-statistics, genetic identity and distance measures were estimated using above-mentioned software. F_{IS} and F_{ST} values per locus with standard deviation (SD) estimated on 1000 bootstrap replicates were computed. Genetic distance according to Nei [16]

was calculated based on similarity matrix for all substructures of selected animals and then visualized to the form of phylogenetic tree using MEGA6 [17].

Results and discussion

Out of the 8 analysed loci only BM1824 showed highly significant (P≤0.001) HWE deviations across breed. Overall F_{IS} ranged from -0.039 for CSRM60 to 0.0535 for BM1824 (see Table 1). Fixation index (F_{IS}) measures the reduction of heterozygosity in an individual because of nonrandom mating within population and hence F_{IS} values significantly higher or lower than 0 reveal inbreeding or outbreeding, respectively. F_{IS} is usually estimated from the heterozygote deficit (1 minus the ratio of observed and expected heterozygosity) and hence F_{IS} values significantly higher or lower than 0 reveal inbreeding or outbreeding, respectively. A positive F_{IS} may also be the result of genetic subdivision (the Wahlund effect), and negative estimates can arise from crossbreeding. Inbreeding depression, or the reduction of fitness of populations by inbreeding, is caused mainly by homozygosity of deleterious mutations [18]. Whereas the average value of F_{IS} reached a negative number, generally we can notice there is no reduction of heterozygosity, but this value was close to zero ($F_{IS} = -0.0039$) and few microsatellites have a positive values, what means this situations can be easily changed in the next generations. Positive F_{IS} values could be derived from inbreeding or from the presence of a substructure within the population.

As is shown in Table 1 the highest average F_{ST} value reached subpopulation based on paternal lines. The value 0.0669 ± 0.0049 from all the loci indicated that 93.31% of the genetic variation was caused by differences among individuals and 6.69% only due to differentiation among the origin of animals. In subpopulation divisions by farm, breed type and year of the birth were observed following values: 0.0188 ± 0.0049 , 0.003 ± 0.0013 and 0.0053 ± 0.0012 , respectively. Generally, F_{ST} values between 0.05 and 0.3 are typical for differentiation of livestock breeds, with a value over 0.15 indicating significant differentiation [19], although much smaller values can be significant [2].



	F_{ST}		
farm	breed type	year of the birth	paternal lines
318 0.0101	0.0027	0.0039	0.0547
39 0.0254	0.0014	0.0129	0.0908
0.0232	0.0047	0.0049	0.0582
0.0524	0.0090	0.0046	0.0720
390 0.0165	-0.0030	0.0067	0.0841
304 0.0074	0.0069	-0.0005	0.0484
048 0.0178	-0.0016	0.0052	0.0632
99 0.0014	0.0030	0.0059	0.0673
039 0.0188	0.0030	0.0053	0.0669
0.0049	0.0013	0.0012	0.0049
	farm 318 0.0101 39 0.0254 330 0.0232 35 0.0524 390 0.0165 304 0.0074 048 0.0178 99 0.0014 039 0.0188 22 0.0049	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$

Table 1 Genetic structure of Slovak Pinzgau cattle subpopulations

Legend: $^{+++}$ *Significance level of Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium (P* \leq 0.001)

Individuals are characterized by sufficient genetic diversity on separate farms. Slight decrease in heterozygosity is visibly at the farm 4 (PD LČV Čimhová – Vitanová), as indicated by a positive F_{IS} value ($F_{IS} = 0.0207$). Farm 3 (Agria a.s. Liptovský Ondrej – Liptovská Porúbka) is dual-purpose type only and 4 beef type, whereas farms 1 (PD Smrečany – Veterná Poruba) and 2 (PD Spišské Bystré – Kvetnica) are changing the type of the farming from beef to dual-purpose, confirming also Fig. 1. Animals from the farm 1 and 2 are genetically closer to each other than animals of the other two farms, because they are both in one cluster, whereas farm 3 and 4 constitute separate cluster.

Fig. 1 Genetic structure of the population by farm



Legend: 1 - PD Smrečany – Veterná Poruba, 2 - PD Spišské Bystré – Kvetnica, 3 - Agria a.s. Liptovský Ondrej – Liptovská Porúbka, 4 - PD LČV Čimhová – Vitanová

Fig. 2 shows the distribution of the animals into five groups by breed type. It is clear that P (purebred animals) is genetically more similar to P2 (12.5% of other breeds) as to P1 (6.25% of other breeds), which may be caused by inaccurate data in the pedigree information. We can observe small reduction in heterozygosity based on F_{IS} values in P2 ($F_{IS} = 0.018$) and P3 (25% of other breeds; $F_{IS} =$ 0.0034), which may be the result of genetic subdivision or lower number of the animals in these groups.

Fig. 2 Genetic structure of the population by breed type



Legend: P - purebred animals, P1 - 6.25%, P2 - 12.5%, P3 - 25%, P4 - 50% of other breeds

Logical division of animals based on year of the birth as shown in Fig. 3 has proved that the oldest animals are genetically the most distant from the younger grades. We can notice that with the passing years, the genetic information preserved in DNA is changing, which may be caused by considerable genetic contributions from migrants while constraining breeding values of the offspring, but also due to type of farming, unification of breed and hence reduction of genetic variability in younger animals. As well positive F_{IS} value (0.0207) in the animals born in years 2009-2010 has confirmed decrease of heterozygosity.

Fig. 3 Genetic structure of the population by year of the birth



occurred in lines LR and KOB based on the positive F_{IS} value after division of the population into groups based on paternal lines. We can observe distribution of the lines into 3 main clusters. These tree groups are marked in Fig. 4. First cluster is created by lines GAL, FBO, LR, LF and REM. Second one consists from lines NOB, LOH, SPE, LOZ and SBA. The remaining animals belong to the third cluster. All clusters create 2 subclusters, while it is being understood that the each 2 smallest subclusters are genetically more similar to each other than to remaining animals.

Fig. 4 Genetic structure of the population using paternal lines



Conclusions

Genetic structure of Pinzgau cattle population has been analysed using set of 8 microsatellites. F_{IS} and F_{ST} values proved that the population is divided into substructures. In most cases, it was logical division confirmed by analysis of genetic structure. The overall average of fixation index was close to zero ($F_{IS} = -0.0039$) which means the reduction of heterozygosity in the whole population was not observed. The F_{ST} has reached following values according to the division method: 0.0188 by farm, 0.003 by breed type, 0.053 by year of the birth and 0.0669 by paternal lines. Detection of possible subpopulation structures provided us with detailed information of the genetic structure of Slovak Pinzgau cattle. Positive FST values indicate a deficiency in heterozygotes in the subpopulations, whereas in the whole population appears to be sufficient heterozygosity, what may imply the

Wahlund effect. The used set of microsatellites can be applied in more detailed studies in the future by analysing more breeds, larger numbers of animals per breed. This should allow improve our knowledge of origin and phylogenetic relationships to other breeds and provide a basis for preservation of the breed in the original phenotype favoured by the current selection schemes and breeding programmes

Acknowledgement

This study was supported by Excellence Center for Agrobiodiversity Conservation and Benefit project (ECOVA and ECOVAplus) implemented under the Operational Programme Research and Development financed by the European Fund for Regional Development.

References:

- [1] Taberlet P, Valentini A, Rezaei HR, Naderi S, Pompanon F, Negrini R, Ajmone-Marsan P, Are cattle, sheep, and goats endangered species? *Molecular Ecology*, Vol.17, No.1, 2008, pp. 275–284.
- [2] Lenstra LA, Groeneveld LF, Eding H, Kantanen J, Williams, JL, Taberlet P, Nicolazzi EL, Soelkner J, Simianer H, Ciani E, Garcia JF, Bruford MW, Ajmone-Marsan P, Weigend S, Molecular tools and analytical approaches for the characterization of farm animal genetic diversity, *Animal Genetics*, Vol.43, No.5, 2012, pp. 483-502.
- [3] FAO, *The state of the world's animal genetic resources for food and agriculture*, FAO, Rome, 2007.
- [4] Ajmone-Marsan P, Garcia JF, Lenstra JA, On the origin of cattle: how aurochs became cattle and colonized the world, *Evolutionary Anthropology*, Vol.19, No.4, 2010, pp.148-57.
- [5] Kadlečík O, Kasarda R, Hetényi L, Genetic gain, increase in inbreeding rate and generation interval in alternatives of Pinzgau breeding program, *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, Vol.49, No.12, 2004, pp. 524-531.
- [6] Kasarda R, Kadlečík O, Mészáros G, Trends of endangered population of Pinzgau Cattle in Slovakia, *Archiva Zootechnica*, Vol.11, No.3, 2008, pp. 82-87.
- [7] Pavlík I, Kadlečík O, Kasarda R, Šidlová V, Žitný J, Comparison of genetic diversity in dual-purpose and beef Pinzgau populations, *Acta Fytotechnica et Zootechnica*, Vol.16, No.3, 2013, pp. 69-73.
- [8] Sun WB, Chen H, Lei CZ, Lei XQ, Zhang YH, Study on population genetic

Mendel N^{et}o¹ 2

characteristics of Qinchuan cows using microsatellite markers, *Journal of Genetics and Genomics*, Vol.34, No.1, 2007, pp. 17-25.

- [9] Ginja C, Telo Da Gama L, Penedo MC, Analysis of STR markers reveals high genetic structure in Portuguese native cattle, *Journal of Heredity*, Vol.101, No.2, 2010, pp. 201-210.
- [10] Wright S, Evolution in mendelian populations, Genetics, Vol.16, No.1, 1931, pp. 97-159.
- [11] Hamilton MB, Population Genetics, John Wiley & Sous Ltd, West Sussex, UK, 2009, pp. 407.
- [12] Holsinger KE, Weir BS, Genetics in geographically structured populations: defining, estimating and interpreting F_{ST}, *Nature Review Genetics*, Vol.10, No.9, 2009, pp. 639-650.
- [13] Evanno G, Regnaut S, Goudet J, Detecting the number of clusters of individuals using the software STRUCTURE: a simulation study. *Molecular Ecology*, Vol.14, No.8, 2005, pp. 2611-2620.

- [14] Guo SW, Thompson, EA, Performing the exact test of Hardy-Weinberg proportion for multiple alleles, *Biometrics*, Vol.48, No.2, 1992, pp.361-372.
- [15] Liu K, Muse SV, Integrated analysis environment for genetic marker data, *Bioinformatics*, Vol.21, No.9, 2005, pp. 2128-2129.
- [16] Nei M, Tajima F, Tateno Y, Accuracy of estimated phylogenetic trees from molecular data. II. Gene frequency data, *Journal of Molecular Evolution*, Vol.19, No.2, 1983, pp. 153-170.
- [17] Tamura K, Stecher G, Peterson D, Filipski A, Kumar S, MEGA6: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 6.0, *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, Vol.30, No.12, 2013, pp. 2725-2729.
- [18] Charlesworth D, Willis JH, The genetics of inbreeding depression, *Nature Reviews Genetics*, Vol.10, No.11, 2009, pp. 783-796.
- [19] Frankham R, Ballou JD, Briscoe DA, Introduction to conservation genetics, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, pp. 617.